

**FY2016 KS-505 CoC NOFA Project Information for
Rank and Review Process for PSH/RRH/TH Project**

Project Name (as it appears on application): _____

Contact Name _____ Phone: _____ Email: _____

Program Type:

- Permanent Supportive Housing
 Rapid Re-Housing
 Transitional Housing
 HMIS
 New Project
 Renewal Project (multiple years)
 Renewal Project (first year)

| Program Focus | Data Source | Scoring Criteria | Score |
|---|---|---|--------------|
| Dedicate or prioritize program beds for chronically homeless clients | Cover sheet | Dedicates: 4 (max) Prioritizes: 2 Neither: 0 | |
| Targets one or more of HUD's priority populations (Veterans, Youth 18-24, Families w Children, Chronic Homeless) | Cover sheet, application | 2 or more: 4 (max) 1: 2 0: 0 | |
| Addresses one or more hard to serve and especially vulnerable population (those with severe mental illness, substance abuse, chronic diseases, domestic violence) | Cover sheet, application | One or more: 2 0: 0 | |
| | | 10 points possible | |
| Performance Measures | | | |
| <p align="center">Housing Stability</p> PSH/RRH: % of persons who remained in permanent housing or exited to permanent housing. TH: % of persons who exited to permanent housing | Cover sheet (verify on submitted APR) | 90% or greater = 20 80-89% = 15 70-79% = 10 60-69% = 5 <60% = 0 | |
| <p align="center">Increased Total Income</p> PSH/RRH: - % of persons who Increased or maintained income from all sources (Earned Income <u>and</u> Other Income) TH: % of persons who increased income from all sources (Earned Income <u>and</u> Other Income) | Cover sheet (verify on submitted APR) | 55% or greater=20 45-54% = 16 35-44% = 12 25-34% = 8 15-24% = 4 <15% = 0 | |
| <p align="center">Bed Utilization</p> Average bed utilization rate for year Jan%+Apr%+Jul%+Oct%/4 = average for year | Cover sheet (verify on submitted APR) | 95% or greater = 10 88-94% = 8 80-87% = 6 72-79% = 4 <72% = 0 | |
| | | 50 points possible | |
| Fiscal Responsibility/Effectiveness | | | |
| In the most recent contract year for which there is an APR, were grant funds returned unspent? If yes, what percentage of the total were returned? | Cover sheet (verify on submitted fiscal balances) | 5% or less = 15 6-10% = 12 11-15% = 8 15-25% = 4 >25% returned=0 | |
| | | 15 points possible | |
| Housing First Approach/Low Barrier Operation | | | |
| Responses related to screening and eligibility criteria, reasons for termination, and commitment and policies to move participants into permanent housing quickly | HF/LB worksheet, application | | |
| | | 20 points possible | |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| HMIS data quality | | | |
| % of missing data points for persons served in program | Submitted APR | 5% or less = 5 6-10% missing =3 >10% missing = 0 | |
| | | 5 points possible | |
| Community Responsiveness and Coordination | | | |
| Does the organization applying: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regularly attend CoC meetings • Collaborates with other organizations to deliver appropriate housing and supportive services • Responsive to identified gaps and needs in local programming • Participates in CoC level planning, point in time • Commits to participate in coordinated entry, use of a standardized tool selected by the CoC and to comply with HMIS policies and procedures (w DV exceptions) • Demonstrate a clear understanding of the CoC system's priorities and challenges with the current application addressing those priorities and challenges | Application, CoC meeting sign ins, Board minutes, committee sign- ins, History of service provision | | |
| | | 20 points possible | |
| | | TOTAL POINTS: 120 | |