FY2023 KS-505 CoC NOFO Scoring Sheet
Rank and Review Process for PSH/RRH/TH/TH-RRH Projects

Project Name (as it appears on application): _____

Contact Name _____ Phone: _____

Email: _____

Program Type:
☐ Permanent Supportive Housing ☐ Rapid Re-Housing ☐ HMIS ☐ Joint Transitional Housing-PH/Rapid Re-Housing
☐ New Project   ☐ Renewal Project (multiple years)   ☐ Renewal Project (first year)

Date of last completed APR for scoring: _____

	Data Source	Scoring Criteria	Score
Program Focus (7.5%)[1]		**10 points possible**	
Dedicate or prioritize program beds for chronically homeless clients	Cover sheet	Dedicates: 4 (max) Prioritizes: 2 Neither: 0	
Targets one or more of HUD's priority or hard to serve populations (Veterans, Youth 18-24, Families w/ Children, Chronically homeless, those with severe mental illness, substance abuse, chronic diseases, domestic violence)	Cover sheet, application	3 or more: 6 (max) 2: 4 1: 2 0: 0	
Commitment to equity (10%)		**13 points possible**	
Demonstrates commitment to equitable service	Cover sheet	Examples and policies: 4 Examples: 2 Insufficient/ None: 0	
Efforts to ensure that marginalized populations can meaningfully participate in planning and implementation of this project	Cover sheet	Examples: 3 Insufficient/ None: 0	
Efforts to ensure privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation	Cover sheet	Examples: 3 Insufficient/ None: 0	
Involvement of people with lived experience	Cover sheet	Examples: 3 Insufficient/ None: 0	
Performance Measures (31%)[2]		**40 points possible**	
Housing Stability PSH/RRH: % of persons who remained in permanent housing or exited to permanent housing. TH: % of persons who exited to permanent housing	Cover sheet (verify on submitted APR)	90% or greater = 20 80-89% = 15 70-79% = 10 60-69% = 5 <60% = 0	

Increased Total Income <u>PSH/RRH:</u> - % of persons who Increased or maintained income from all sources (Earned Income <u>and</u> Other Income) TH: % of persons who increased income from all sources (Earned Income <u>and</u> Other Income)	Cover sheet (verify on submitted APR)	55% or greater = 20 45-54% = 16 35-44% = 12 25-34% = 8 15-24% = 4 <15% = 0	

Bed Utilization (7.5%)[1]		**10 points possible**	
Average bed utilization rate for year Jan% + Apr% + Jul% + Oct% /4 = average for year	Cover sheet (verify on submitted APR)	95% or greater = 10 88-94% = 8 80-87% = 6 72-79% = 4 <72% = 0	
Fiscal Responsibility/Effectiveness (11%)[1]		**14 points possible**	
In the most recent contract year for which there is an APR, were grant funds returned unspent? If yes, what percentage of the total were returned?	Cover sheet (verify on submitted fiscal balances)	5% or less = 14 6-10% = 12 11-15% = 8 15-25% = 4 >25% returned = 0	
Housing First Approach/Low Barrier Operation (15%)[1]		**20 points possible**	
Responses related to screening and eligibility criteria, reasons for termination, and commitment and policies to move participants into permanent housing quickly	Cover sheet's Housing First checklist		
HMIS data quality (4%)[1]		**5 points possible**	
% of missing data points for persons served in program	Submitted APR	5% or less = 5 6-10% missing = 3 >10% missing = 0	
Community Responsiveness and Coordination (14%)		**18 points possible**	
Does the organization applying: • Regularly attend CoC meetings • Collaborates with other organizations to deliver appropriate housing and supportive services • Responsive to identified gaps and needs in local programming • Participates in CoC level planning, point in time • Commits to participate in coordinated entry, use of a standardized tool selected by the CoC and to comply with HMIS policies and procedures (w DV exceptions) • Demonstrate a clear understanding of the CoC system's priorities and challenges with the current application addressing those priorities and challenges • Leverages healthcare and/or housing systems	Application, CoC meeting sign-ins, Board minutes, committee sign-ins, History of service provision		
		TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS: 130	

[1]HUD guidance from Debriefing: At least 33% of total points are based on cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, performance data, type of population served.
[2]HUD guidance from Debriefing: At least 20% of total points are based on system performance measures.