## FY2024 KS-505 CoC NOFO Project Scoring Tool

Rank and Review Process for PSH/RRH/TH/TH-RRH Projects

Project Name (as it appears on application): \_\_\_\_\_

Program Type:

Permanent Supportive H	ousing 🗌 Rapid Re-Housing 🗌 HMIS	□ Joint Transitional Housing-PH/Rapid Re-Housing
New Project	$\Box$ Renewal Project (multiple years)	Renewal Project (first year)

Date of last completed APR for scoring: \_\_\_\_\_

	Data Source	Scoring Criteria	Score
Program Focus (7.5%) <sup>1</sup>		10 points possible	
Dedicate or prioritize program beds for chronically homeless clients	Local application	Dedicates: 4 (max) Prioritizes: 2 Neither: 0	
Targets one or more of HUD's priority or hard to serve populations (Veterans, Youth 18-24, Families w/ Children, Chronically homeless, those with severe mental illness, substance abuse, chronic diseases, domestic violence)	Local application, eSnaps application	2 or more: 6 (max) 1: 3 0: 0	
Commitment to equity (10%)		13 points possible	
Demonstrates commitment to equitable service	Local application	Examples and policies: 4 Examples: 2 Insufficient/ None: 0	
Efforts to ensure that marginalized populations can meaningfully participate in planning and implementation of this project	Local application	Examples: 1-3 Insufficient/ None: 0	
Efforts to ensure privacy, respect, safety, and access regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation	Local application	Examples: 1-3 Insufficient/ None: 0	
Involvement of people with lived experience	Local application	Examples: 1-3 Insufficient/ None: 0	
Performance Measures (34%) <sup>2</sup>		44 points possible	
Housing Stability RRH: % persons exited to positive housing destinations (Q23c) PSH: % of persons who remained in permanent housing or exited to positive housing destinations. (Q23c persons exiting to positive destinations + Q5a Stayers)/(Total Served Q5a)	Local application (verify on submitted APR)	90% or greater = 20 80-89% = 15 70-79% = 10 60-69% = 5 <60% = 0	

Increased Total IncomePSH: % of stayers & leavers who retained or increasedincomeRRH/TH-RRH: % of leavers who retained or increasedincomePSH/RRH/TH-RRH: % of persons with new or increased (any)income for project leaversBed Utilization (7.5%)1Average bed & utilization rate for year	Local application (verify on submitted APR)	55% or greater = 20 $45-54% = 16$ $35-44% = 12$ $25-34% = 8$ $15-24% = 4$ $<15% = 0$ $50%$ or greater = 4 $25-49% = 2$ $<25% = 0$ <b>10 points possible</b> 95% or greater = 10
	(verify on submitted APR)	88-94% = 8 80-87% = 6 72-79% = 4 <72% = 0
Serving High Needs Populations (3%)		4 points possible
RRH/TH-RRH/PSH: % of persons with more than one disabling condition	Local application (verify on submitted APR)	50% or greater = 4 25-49% = 2 0-24% = 0
Fiscal Responsibility/Effectiveness (11%) <sup>1</sup>		14 points possible
In the most recent contract year for which there is an APR, were grant funds returned unspent? If yes, what percentage of the total were returned?	Local application (verify on submitted fiscal balances)	5% or less = 14 6-10% = 12 11-15% = 8 15-25% = 4 >25% returned = 0
Housing First Approach/Low Barrier Operation (11.5%) <sup>1</sup>		15 points possible
Responses related to screening and eligibility criteria, reasons for termination, and commitment and policies to move participants into permanent housing quickly	Local application's Housing First checklist	
HMIS data quality (4%) <sup>1</sup>		5 points possible
% of missing data points for persons served in program (Q6a Overall Score)	Submitted APR	5% or less = 5 6-10% missing = 3 >10% missing = 0
Community Responsiveness and Coordination (11.5%)		15 points possible
<ul> <li>Does the organization applying:</li> <li>Regularly attend CoC meetings</li> <li>Collaborates with other organizations to deliver appropriate housing and supportive services</li> <li>Responsive to identified gaps and needs in local programming</li> <li>Participates in CoC level planning, point in time</li> <li>Commits to participate in coordinated entry, use of a standardized tool selected by the CoC and to comply with HMIS policies and procedures (w DV exceptions)</li> <li>Demonstrate a clear understanding of the CoC system's priorities and challenges with the current application addressing those priorities and challenges</li> <li>Leverages healthcare and/or housing systems</li> </ul>	Application, CoC meeting sign-ins, Board minutes, committee sign-ins, History of service provision	

	TOTAL POSSIBLE	
	POINTS: 130	

<sup>1</sup>HUD guidance: At least 33% of total points are based on objective criteria including cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, performance data, type of population served.

<sup>2</sup>HUD guidance: At least 20% of total points are based on system performance measures.